

From: SARA SIZEMORE <jazzysara13@gmail.com>

Date: October 28, 2014 at 2:41:33 AM EDT

To: "Dong, Randall" <Randall.Dong@psc.sc.gov>

Cc: "Shafeek-Horton, Timika (Timika.Shafeek-Horton@duke-energy.com)" <Timika.Shafeek-Horton@duke-energy.com>, "Hudson, Shannon" <shudson@regstaff.sc.gov>, PSC_Directive-Order-Hearing-Distribution-List <PSC_Directive-Order-Hearing-Distribution-List@bcbad.state.sc.us>

Subject: Re: Hearing Examiner Directive, Sizemore vs. Duke Energy, 2014-392-E

DOCKET # ; 2014-392-E; SIZEMORE V. DUKE ENERGY;

TO ALL CONCERNED PARTIES;

I, SARA PATRICIA ANN SIZEMORE, AM RESPECTFULLY REQUESTING THAT THE MOTION TO DISMISS DOCKET # ; 2014-392-E, BE DENIED. I UNDERSTAND THE DUTIES OF THE PSC., IS TO GOVERN THE RATES AND SERVICES OF THE UTILITY COMPANY, DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC.,.

ACCORDING TO SECTION 58-3-140; POWERS TO REGULATE PUBLIC UTILITIES; SPECIFICALLY SECTION "A". THE COMMISSION IS VESTED WITH POWER AND JURISDICTION OVER ALL PUBLIC UTILITY IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA. TO FIX JUST AND REASONABLE STANDARDS; RE; SERVICES. A SERVICE WAS PERFORMED BY DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC., WHEN THEY LAID A NEW POWER LINE TO A MOBILE HOME ON THE PROPERTY AJJOINING MY PROPERTY. DUKE ENERGY DUG A TRENCH, AND INSTALLED CONCRETE BLOCKING TO RUN A POWER LINE TO ABOVE SAID PROPERTY. THIS TRENCH WAS DUG ALONG MY TREE LINE, ON MY PROPERTY, AND THE LINE WAS LAID UNDERGROUND, FROM EXSISTING POWER POLE, TO A NEW POWER POLE, WITH A TRANSFORMER, INSTALLED BY DUKE ENERGY. ALONG MY TREE LINE. THEY THEN RAN ABOVE GROUND POWER LINES, FROM EXSISTING POWER POLE TO NEWLY INSTALLED POWER POLE, TO GET THE POWER TO MOBILE HOME ON NEIGHBORS PROPERTY. QUESTION; IS THIS, IN EFFECT, A SERVICE PERFORMED BY DUKE ENERGY? A SERVICE THAT YOU, AS THE P.S.C., HAVE JURISDICTION OVER? MY UNDERSTANDING OF SECTION 58-3-140, SECTION "A", THAT IS EXACTLY WHAT YOU HAVE JURISDICTION OVER. WITH ALL INCLUDED PRACTICES IN SECTION "A". DUKE ENERGY BROKE EVERY RULE IN ABOVE MENTIONED SECTION A , ALL RULES AND REGULATIONS, THAT YOU, THE P.S.C., HAVE TOTAL CONTROL OVER.

THEREFORE, I AM REQUESTING THAT THE HEARING SCHEDULED ON NOVEMBER 3, 2014, SIZEMORE V. DUKE ENERGY, COMMENCE AS SCHEDULED, AND THAT RELIEF BE GRANTED TO THE COMPLAINANT.

I HAVE BEEN TOLD THAT THE P.S.C., AND / OR / O.R.S., DOES NOT HAVE THE POWER / MEANS, TO SATISFY THE ACTUAL FUNDS / POWER TO COVER LOSS OF MY PROPERTY. I AM NOT ASKING THE P.S.C., / O.R.S., TO COVER IT. WHAT I AM ASKING IS THAT DUKE ENERGY BE MADE TO COVER ALL MONETARY AND REPLACEMENT OF THE LOSS OF MY PROPERTY -- 18 LEYLAND CYPRESS TREES, THAT DUKE ENERGY DESTROYED. I ALSO UNDERSTAND THAT, FROM TIME TO TIME, CERTAIN CONDITIONS HAVE BEEN COVERED BY THE P.S.C --DISCRETIONARY OPTIONS AVAILABLE TO YOU, TO FORCE A PUBLIC UTILITY COMPANY TO ACCOUNT IN FULL, FOR SOMETHING THEY DESTROYED, WHILE PERFORMING A SERVICE ---RUNNING A POWER LINE IS A SERVICE---. I AM RESPECTFULLY ASKING THAT

DUKE ENERGY BE MADE TO COMPENSATE A PRIVATE CITIZEN, FOR THEIR DESTRUCTION OF PRIVATE PROPERTY.

REGARDS,

SARA PATRICIA ANN SIZEMORE.

On Mon, Oct 20, 2014 at 11:32 AM, Dong, Randall <Randall.Dong@psc.sc.gov> wrote:

Dear Parties and Counsel:

Attached is my Hearing Examiner Directive in the above-referenced docket, holding the schedule in abeyance pending resolution of Duke's Motion to Dismiss. Please advise if you have questions.

Randall Dong

Hearing Examiner